# Quantum Key Distribution over Quantum Repeaters with Encoding



Yumang Jing and Mohsen Razavi

School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, Leeds, United Kingdom

Motivations and Objectives

- Quantum repeaters (QRs): An enabling technology for future quantum networks that allows efficient distribution of entanglement over long distances.
- Main idea: first distribute and store entanglement between short segments and then to use entanglement swapping (ES) and entanglement distillation at intermediate stations to establish entanglement at long distances.
- $L_0 \qquad L_0 \qquad L_0$ **This work:** Focuses on a scheme where entanglement distillation is achieved by using deterministic quantum error correction codes (QECCs) [1]; Studies the performance of a QKD system that is run over a QR with three and five-qubit repetition codes by accounting for various sources of errors in the setup; Specifies the requirements of such systems in practice for near-term implementation.
- Challenge: Simulating erroneous quantum circuits on a classical computer and obtaining the analytical form of the final entangled states after several nesting levels. The complexity of the analysis grows exponentially with the number of qubits involved. How to **minimize the required approximations** while still getting a rather accurate result within reasonable simulation times.
- **Method**: Employing a **novel hybrid numerical-analytical approach** that relies on the *linearity* of the employed quantum circuits, and the *transversality* of the code employed.
- **Results:** New post-selection techniques based on error detection; New efficient QKD decoders; New repeater architectures for NV-centre platforms

### Quantum Repeater with Encoding



**Objective:** Distribute an encoded Bell state Encoding **Bell state:**  $|00\rangle + |11\rangle$ 

> $|000\rangle|000\rangle + |111\rangle|111\rangle$ Encoded Bell state with 3-qubit repetition code

**Benefit:** We can potentially correct for error at each entanglement swapping stage

**Challenge:** error propagation due to imperfect gates (error prob  $\beta$ ); imperfect initial states (w/ fidelity  $F_0$ ; and measurement errors (w/p  $\delta$ )

#### **Error Detection As an Effective Post-Selection Tool**

### Simple Efficient QKD Decoders



#### BBM92 protocol Decoder QKD meas QKD meas $|Z/X\rangle \leftarrow \langle -\rangle$ ⟨z/x|

- Benchmarking question: Considering typical sources of error in the system, what can realistically be achieved and under what conditions?
- Sources of error:
  - Error in CNOT gates with prob  $\beta$
  - Error in single-qubit measurements, with prob  $\delta$
  - Error in the initial entangled states, with fidelity  $F_0$
- Figure of merit: Secret fraction (secret key rate/distributed state)

 $R \ge 1 - h(e_{phase}) - h(e_{bit})$ 

- Different QKD protocols possible:
  - (i) You let the service provider to do all necessary corrections and just give you the final decoded states; the users do not know m and d
  - (ii) The users know m, but not d
  - (iii) The users know d, but not m
  - (iv) The users know both d and m  $\rightarrow$  our case of interest

#### **3- versus 5-qubit repetition codes at L = 1000 km**

3-qubit codes allow for a wider range of parameters before losing to probabilistic quantum repeaters



**UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS** 



Take-home message: For moderately long distances, we may not need complicated codes to get some advantage

## QR with Encoding on NV Centre Platforms





- In protocol (iv), for each pair of m and d, we effectively post-process the corresponding data together
- Question: what values of m and d result in higher key rates?
- We identify three important categories of states
  - Good states: when we detect no error at ES stage
  - **Bad states:** when we detect at least one error at ES stage
  - Golden states: When we detect no error neither at ES nor at decoding stage

• Key finding: In most practical cases, the secret key rate is dominated by that of the golden states  $\rightarrow$  We can use *error detection*, rather than error correction, as a postselection tool/



Protocol 1: Use local entanglement between electron Protocol 2: Generate entanglement between electron spins of two co-located NV centres to do ES spins of two remote NV centres to do ES



Meas. Error = 1 E - 4Electron spin coherence time = 10 msNuclear spin coherence time = 10 s  $\eta_c$ : Spin-photon coupling efficiency; Protocol 4: deterministic QR with no distillation

*Near-term applications in sight!* 

More info at arXiv: 2105.14122

\* This project is funded by the European Innovative Training Network Quantum Communications for ALL (QCALL), project 675662, under the Marie Sklodowska Curie Call H2020-MSCA-ITN-2015 and UK EPSRC Grant EP/M013472/1. Please visit <u>www.qcall-itn.eu</u> for more information.